

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/717,676	11/21/2000	Bradley J. Bartz	777.346US1	9183
41505	7590 01/26/2006	EXAMINER		
WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION) ONE LIBERTY PLACE - 46TH FLOOR PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			VU, TUAN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/717,676 BARTZ ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Tuan A. Vu 2193 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Tuan A. Vu. (3)\_\_\_\_. (4)\_\_\_\_. (2) Ken Eiferman. Date of Interview: 23 January 2006. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 3. Identification of prior art discussed: n/a. Agreement with respect to the claims fi was reached. g) was not reached. h) $\times$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Wantah Ve

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative has shown how the amended parts of claim as proposed are reflected in the specifications; and Examiner has also suggested that such limitations need to be redirected to bring out some aspect of the invention that would otherwise become more specific than what is perceived so far in the art of comparing documents. That is, the claim has to put forth the GUI side of the tool whereby the user can input his/her commands or be given options/settings to the comparing process, i.e. like determining specifics based on which the tool should be using one higher level as opposed to using a lower level in comparing the target texts. It has been also suggested that the recited 'predetermined number' should be expressed differently in order to help put forth the teaching in the specifications, e.g. the concept as to when it is more helpful to alternate between using a higher level or lower level of text representing a comparison degree/level; and Applicant's representative also concurred in trying to reamend such concept; however, it has been remarked that patentability of the claims can only be determined upon full and duly examination of the application.